	Case5:13-cv-04980-LHK Documer	nt29 Filed01/22/14 Page1 of 9			
1	Daniel C. Girard (SBN 114826)				
2	dcg@girardgibbs.com Matthew B. George (SBN 239322)				
3	mbg@girardgibbs.com Heidi H. Kalscheur (SBN 287993)				
4	hhk@girardgibbs.com				
5	GIRARD GIBBS LLP 601 California Street, 14th Floor				
6	San Francisco, California 94104				
7	Telephone: (415) 981-4800 Facsimile: (415) 981-4846				
8		,			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Eric Holland and Cody Bal	ker			
10	Laurence D. King (SBN 206423) lking@kaplanfox.com				
11	Linda M. Fong (SBN 124232)				
12	lfong@kaplanfox.com Mario M. Choi (SBN 243409)				
12	mchoi@kaplanfox.com KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP				
13	350 Sansome Street, Suite 400				
	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-4700				
15	Facsimile: (415) 772-4700				
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Brian Pincus				
17	[Additional Counsel Continued After Caption Page	2]			
18		DISTRICT COURT			
19	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA			
20	SAN JOS	E DIVISION			
21					
22	ERIC HOLLAND and CODY BAKER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly	Case No. 5:13-cv-04980-LHK			
23	situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING GIRARD GIBBS LLP AND			
24	Plaintiffs,	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP AS			
25	vs.	INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL			
26	v5.				
27	YAHOO! INC.,				
28					
	Defendant.				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING GIRARD GIBBS LLP AND KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP AS CO-LEAD LEAD INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL CASE NO.: 5:13-CV-04980-LHK				

	Case5:13-cv-04980-LHK Document	29 Filed01/22/14 Page2 of 9	
1 2 3	HALIMA NOBLES, on behalf of Herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v.	Case No.: 5:13-cv-04989-LHK	
4 5	YAHOO! Inc., a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive		
6	Defendants.		
7 8	BRIAN PINCUS, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 5:13-cv-05326-LHK	
9	Plaintiff,		
10	vs.		
11 12	YAHOO! INC., a Delaware corporation,		
13	Defendant.		
14 15	REBECCA ABRAMS, Individually and on Behalf of Those Similarly Situated,	Case No.: 5:13-cv-05388-LHK	
16	Plaintiff,		
17	vs.		
18 19	YAHOO! INC., a California Corporation,		
20	Defendant.		
21	David A. Straite (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) dstraite@kaplanfox.com		
22	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP		
23	850 Third Avenue, 14th Floor New York, New York 10022		
24	Telephone: (212) 687-1980 Facsimile: (212) 687-7714		
25			
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff Brian Pincus		
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING GIRARD GIBBS LLP ANI KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP AS CO-LEAD LEAD INTERIM CLASS COUNSE CASE NO.: 5:13-CV-04980-LHK		

	Case5:13-cv-04980-LHK Document29 Filed01/22/14 Page3 of 9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Reginald Terrell, Esq. (SBN 127874) reggiet2@aol.com THE TERRELL LAW GROUP Post Office Box13315, PMB #148 Oakland, California 94661 Telephone: (510) 237-9700 Facsimile: (510) 237-4616 Sydney J. Hall, Esq. (SBN 158151) sydneyhallawoffice@yahoo.com LAW OFFICES OF SYDNEY JAY HALL 1308 Bayshore Highway, #220 Burlingame, California 94010 Telephone: (650) 342-1830 Facsimile: (650) 342-6344 Attorneys for Plaintiff Halima Nobles Lionel Z. Glancy (SBN 134180) Iglancy@glancylaw.com Michael Goldberg (SBN 188669) mingoldberg@glancylaw.com GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9160 Jeremy A. Lieberman (admitted pro hac vice) Ipotnow@pomlaw.com Lesley F. Portnoy (admitted pro hac vice) Ipotnow for Plaintiff Rebecca Abrams Atorneys for Plaintiff Rebecca Abrams
	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP AS CO-LEAD LEAD INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL CASE NO.: 5:13-CV-04980-LHK

Pursuant to Federal Civil Rule 23(g), Plaintiffs Eric Holland, Cody Baker, Halima Nobles, Brian Pincus, and Rebecca Abrams in the above-captioned actions ("the Related Actions") respectfully request that the Court appoint Girard Gibbs LLP and Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP as interim class counsel to prosecute the Related Actions on behalf of Plaintiffs and the proposed class members. Defendant Yahoo! Inc. ("Yahoo") takes no position on the appointment of interim class counsel. Plaintiffs stipulate as follows:

7 || **I.**

1

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

A. The Court Related Six Proposed Class Actions Against Yahoo Alleging Email Privacy Violations

1. Beginning October 2, 2013, six proposed class actions have been filed in the Northern District of California against Yahoo generally alleging that Yahoo's practices of scanning and analyzing of email violates consumers' privacy rights. In each case the Plaintiffs propose to represent identical or substantially overlapping classes of non-Yahoo! Mail subscribers and all Plaintiffs assert claims under California's Invasion of Privacy Act (the "California Wiretap Act" or "CIPA"). Four of the six actions also assert federal claims under Title I of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (the federal "Wiretap Act"), 28 USC § 2510 *et seq.* Yahoo denies the allegations in Plaintiffs' complaints. The six actions filed to date include:

Case Name	Case No.	Date Filed
Kevranian, et al., v. Yahoo! Inc.	5:13-cv-04547-LHK	10/02/13
Zelaya, et al., v. Yahoo! Inc.	5:13-cv-04619-LHK	10/04/13
Holland, et al., v. Yahoo! Inc.	5:13-cv-04980-LHK	10/25/13
Nobles, et al., v. Yahoo! Inc.	5:13-cv-04989-LHK	10/25/13
Pincus v. Yahoo! Inc.	5:13-cv-05326-LHK	11/15/13
Abrams v. Yahoo! Inc.	5:13-cv-05388-LHK	11/20/13

2. On October 30, 2013, Yahoo filed an administrative motion to relate the first three filed cases to the pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(a). Plaintiffs Holland and Baker filed a statement in

Case5:13-cv-04980-LHK Document29 Filed01/22/14 Page5 of 9

support of relating the cases on November 1, 2013. Plaintiffs Pincus and Abrams subsequently filed
 administrative motions to relate their cases once their complaints were filed. No oppositions were filed
 in response to the parties' motions to relate the cases.

3. On December 18, 2013, the Court granted the parties' Administrative Motions to Consider Whether the Cases Should be Related. In its Order, the Court found that the cases "involve the same defendant, *Yahoo!*, and involve substantially the same basic allegations." *Kevranian et al. v. Yahoo!, Inc.*, Order Relating Cases, at 2, Case No. 5:13-cv-04547 (*Kevranian* Dkt. 27). The Court agreed that there "will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before difference Judges." *Id.* The Court related all six of the pending actions against Yahoo to the first filed *Kevranian* Action.

B.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Parties Have Stipulated To Consolidate The Related Actions

Plaintiffs Kevranian, Zapata, Zelaya and Campos filed a Joint Motion to Appoint
 Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, and Kirtland & Packard LLP as Interim Lead Class Counsel on
 November 5, 2013 (*"Kevranian* Motion"). On January 2, 2013, Plaintiffs Holland and Baker filed a
 Motion to Consolidate the Related Cases and to Appoint Girard Gibbs LLP as Interim Lead Counsel
 (*"Holland* Motion"). The *Holland* Motion was supqported by the Declaration of Matthew B. George
 (attached hereto as Exhibit A). Plaintiffs Holland and Baker also filed a statement in response to the
 Kevranian Motion. On January 2, 2013, Plaintiff Pincus also filed a Motion to Consolidate the Related
 Actions and to Appoint Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP as Interim Lead Counsel (*"Pincus* Motion").
 The *Pincus* Motion was supported by the Declaration of Laurence D. King (attached hereto as Exhibit
 B). Plaintiff Pincus also filed a statement in response to the *Kevranian* Motion. The *Kevranian*,
 Holland, and *Pincus* Motions were all set for hearing on March 27, 2014 at 1:30 p.m.

2. On January 8, 2014, the *Kevranian* and *Zelaya* Plaintiffs filed stipulations to dismiss their actions, which were granted by the Court on January 9, 2014. Accordingly, the *Kevranian* Motion is moot.

3. Counsel for Plaintiffs in the four remaining actions and Yahoo have met and conferred
and agree that this District Court should exercise its "broad discretion" to consolidate these Related
Cases that involve "a common question of law or fact." Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). The parties are preparing

and filing a separate stipulation for the Court to consider whether to consolidate the Related Actions for
 the purposes of efficiency and to streamline the litigation. Should the Court consolidate the Related
 Actions, Plaintiffs have agreed to file a consolidated complaint 28 days after consolidation and the
 parties have negotiated a briefing schedule on Yahoo's anticipated motion to dismiss.

C.

5

6

Plaintiffs Request Appointment of Girard Gibbs LLP and Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLPAs Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel

7 1. Plaintiffs in the Related Actions have also agreed on a proposed leadership structure of 8 co-lead interim class counsel consisting of Girard Gibbs LLP and Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP. Both 9 firms have significant, relevant qualifications that support their appointment as interim lead counsel 10 under Federal Civil Rule 23(g) and have worked successfully together in prior litigation. See In re Pre-11 Filled Propane Tank Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation, Case No. 4:09-cv-00465-GAF (W.D. Mo.) (served as two of four lead counsel firms in antitrust and consumer fraud action that recovered over 12 13 \$10 million); In re Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Delaware Derivative Litigation, Consolidated C.A. No. 7455-14 CS (appointed as two of four lead counsel firms in shareholder litigation pending against Wal-Mart 15 regarding its alleged violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act) (Del. Ch. Ct.); In re Winstar 16 Communications Securities Litigation, 01-Civ. 3014 (joint representation of various institutional 17 investors affiliated with Allianz A.G. in "opt-out" securities action). As detailed in the Declarations of 18 Matthew B. George and Laurence D. King filed in support of the Holland and Pincus Motions (and 19 submitted herewith), each firm has already invested significant time and effort into investigating the 20 claims, analyzing the technological and legal issues that will drive the litigation, coordinating efforts 21 with plaintiffs' counsel in the other cases, and have worked with an expert who has a background in the 22 very issues raised by this case. Each firm has also previously litigated cases asserting privacy claims 23 and has vast experience in litigating class action cases. The firms also have the resources to commit to 24 prosecuting this case on behalf of the plaintiffs and proposed class and will do so in an efficient manner. 25 Plaintiffs have conferred with Yahoo's counsel and have been informed that Yahoo takes no position on 26 the appointment of co-lead interim class counsel.

28

27

II. STIPULATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

A. The Related Cases Are Consolidated

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY AGREED, by and between the attorneys of record for the undersigned plaintiffs, that:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g), the Court appoints Girard Gibbs LLP
 Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP as Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the Plaintiffs in the Related
 Actions and Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel shall also serve in the same capacity should the Court
 consolidate the Related Actions.

2. Interim Class Counsel shall have sole authority over all matters concerning the prosecution of the case on behalf of Plaintiffs, including: (a) coordinating all proceedings, including preparing, structuring, and presenting pretrial and other case management related orders; (b) convening meetings of counsel; (c) communicating with defense counsel; (d) the initiation, response, scheduling, briefing and argument of all motions; (e) the scope, order and conduct of all discovery proceedings; (f) assigning non-duplicative work to be performed by other Plaintiffs' counsel as appropriate; (g) retaining experts; (h) appearing on behalf of Plaintiffs at all court conferences, hearings, and trial; (i) the timing and substance of any settlement negotiations and settlement; and (k) allocating any attorneys' fees awarded by the Court among the various counsel doing work on the case.

3. As a result of this stipulation, plaintiffs' related motions for for appointment of Interim Lead Counsel have been withdrawn. Should the Court also grant the parties' stipulation to consolidate the Related Actions the March 27, 2014 hearing on the motions for appointment of lead counsel is VACATED.

Dated: January 21, 2014

GIRARD GIBBS LLP

By: <u>Daniel C. Girard</u> Daniel C. Girard Matthew B. George Heidi H. Kalscheur

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Holland and Baker

4

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING GIRARD GIBBS LLP AND KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP AS CO-LEAD INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL CASE NO.: 5:13-CV-04980-LHK

	Case5:13-cv-04980-LHK	Document29 Filed01/22/14 Page8 of 9	
1	Dated: January 21, 2014	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP	
2		Dru Laurance D. King	
3		By: <u>Laurence D. King</u> Laurence D. King	
4		Linda M. Fong Mario M. Choi	
5		David A. Straite	
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff Pincus	
7 8	Dated: January 21, 2014	THE TERRELL LAW GROUP LAW OFFICES OF SYDNEY JAY HALL	
9		By: <u>Reginald Von Terrell</u>	
10		Reginald Von Terrell	
11		Sydney J. Hall	
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff Nobles	
13	Dated: January 21, 2014	POMERANTZ LLP	
14		By: Jeremy A. Lieberman	
15 16		Jeremy A. Lieberman Lesley F. Portnoy	
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff Abrams	
18			
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED		
20		Jucy H. Koh	
21	Dated:		
22		United States District Judge Lucy H. Koh	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		5	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING GIRARD GIBBS LLP AND KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP AS CO-LEAD INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL CASE NO.: 5:13-CV-04980-LHK		

Case5:13-cv-04980-LHK	Document29	Filed01/22/14	Page9 of 9
-----------------------	------------	---------------	------------

ATTESTATION

I, Matthew B. George, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that all other signatories listed have concurred in this filing.

Dated: January 21, 2014

By: <u>/s/ Matthew B. George</u> Matthew B. George

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING GIRARD GIBBS LLP AND KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP AS CO-LEAD INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL CASE NO.: 5:13-CV-04980-LHK